

PSYCHO-SCIENTIFIC FRONTIERS

Selected publications from a variety of subjects of psycho-scientific research. Editor: Rolf Linnemann (Certificated Engineer) * Steinweg 3b * 32108 Bad Salzuflen * Tel. (05222) 6558

Internet: <http://www.psychowissenschaften.de>

E-Mail: RoLi@psygrenz.de

Translator's email: evak30@optusnet.com.au

Menetekel brochures of 1956 - 1975

Theme : **Sin and justice**

Addenda from the *Menetekel* 1956 – 1975

Questioner : Medialer Friedenskreis, Berlin (Psychic Peace Circle, Berlin)
Mediums : Uwe Speer (17) and Monika-Manuela Speer (15)
MESSENGERS of LIGHT : ELIAS, ARGUN, AREDOS

The following text was psychically received by Medialen Friedenskreis Berlin, a Christian/Spiritual community, psychically between the years of 1956 to 1975. These transmissions are a part of the *Menetekel*, a collection of 4,500 A4 pages of freshly catalogued protocols, amassed over 20 years. All of these mediumistic messages were left in their original form, even if similar questions were repeated. The work of the two writing mediums, *Monika-Manuela Speer* and *Uwe Speer* began when they were 15 and respectively 17 years old. The total production of the MFK-Berlin (Medialer Friedenskreis Berlin), the *Menetekel* and the 21 transmissions from the space-brothers are considered the absolute pinnacle of psycho-scientific research. Other spiritual circles have often tried to copy the work of the MFK, but its quality was never achieved. The reproduction and distribution of these rearranged messages and protocols is *explicitly encouraged* and is not covered by any copyright.

Preface

During his third Christmas message, Pope Benedict XVI broke a symbolic lance for our oppressed planet. He touched upon a subject, a subject he wants to continue to pursue, namely the *defence of creation*, something that has been dear to his heart for a long time. He furthermore addressed all Christians with the following words:

“There is nothing more beautiful and more important than to gratuitously pass something we gratuitously received from GOD on to our fellow human beings.”

The contents of the *Menetekel* is this kind of gift, one designed to enrich our knowledge. It is actually the greatest gift one can bestow upon another person. This knowledge is not buried with the physical body in a grave, it remains intact within one's ETERNAL EXISTENCE. It helps people in *this* life and in *other* lives and it guides them towards self-realisation and further down the track to complete contentment.

It is therefore logical that the forgiveness of sins can only ensue through the constant improvement of one's character. The *Menetekel* is a great help along this path, because it actually tells us what sins are all about to begin with. This path is however too *inconvenient* for a lot of people and for the Churches also.

Think of your future!

Bad Salzuflen, September 2008

Questions and answers

Years 1956 – 1958 (incomplete)

Question : There are those that see their whole life as one *great injustice*. To discuss this with an atheists is a kind of mental challenge.

ARGUN : *But something always remains behind. A conversation with an atheist is never completely useless*, even if it only strengthens one's own conviction and animates one's own initiative for thought.

* * * * *

Question : Is one's *freedom of will* not nullified because one's idiosyncrasies make certain guidelines necessary?

ARGUN : No, this isn't so. It isn't necessarily depending on guidance, this would be too easy. Guidance serves completely different purposes.

- *One's own will is always decisive.*

* * * * *

Question : Must people's atonement – the ablation of karma as equalising justice in a divine sense – take place in the same manner as the cause that led to it in the first place? Must the fate I imposed upon another person fulfil itself in the *self-same* manner or can it come into effect in other ways?

ARGUN : There are also *other* forms of equalising justice.

* * * * *

September 1959

Question : How does the WORLD of the SPIRIT assess the sins that are committed by people under abnormal circumstances? People can sometimes not recall what they have done.

ARGUN : These are just excuses *80 percent* of the time, because they know too well that their punishment will not be milder. The rest of the *20 percent* are *not completely* responsible for their crimes. They are *possessed*, because they opened a spiritual DOOR. They looked for negativity and they found an opportunity to put EVIL into action. These people are physically and mentally *ill*. When assessed by forensic medical officers, judges and other experts the phase the Moon was in at the time of the must also be taken into consideration.

- *A full Moon exerts a powerful mental influence, because its atmosphere is partially volatilized. The Moon plays the role of a negative magnet in regards to everything that is evil. Positive people, those that seek and want only goodness, are not affected by this.*

The radiation from a full Moon is also very dangerous in regards to eroticism – it promotes sex crimes or abnormal behaviourism. The people on your planet still have a lot to learn before they can fly up the LADDER to HEAVEN, because they do *not want to climb* it, they find it too uncomfortable.

Question : Are people born encumbered by *original sin*?

ARGUN : There is indeed karma, but there is *no original sin*. Every one of you can have good intentions and strive for goodness and still regress in spite of this. But this has nothing to do with original sin.

- *Sinning is a very personal, individual course of action – an abuse of one's freedom.*
- *The idea of original sin is a purely priestly assumption, one that is in no way justified.*

A human being can indeed inherit certain proclivities and talents, but their *application* are absolutely their own affair. One neither inherits somebody else's karma nor sins, but one does without fail inherit the results of carnal sins. One could talk about original sin here, that is to say, *such* an inherited sin is an inheritance that only concerns the physical body. But if the body is deceased, the soul will only have a deficient tool at its disposal and progress will be hard to come by.

- *The LORD did not send you on your way burdened by a spiritual, original sin, HE endowed you with all your senses – and to correctly use them is up to you.*

October 1959

Question : How are primitive people and races credited when they commit a major trespass?

ARGUN : The *higher* an individual's development, *the higher* a trespass is credited.

January 1960

Question : Do people have the right to condemn other people to death (capital punishment)?

ARGUN : *No*. We categorically reject corporal punishment, whatever the crime. The LORD alone sits in judgment! No human being can assert the right to do this.

Question : Is the judge's guilt then higher than that of the condemned?

ARGUN : The judge is *ignorant* and this is his excuse; he will however have to give the LORD account for his action, this usually only happens once he has shed his mortal coil.

December 1960

Question : Does the environment we live in *force* us to commit sins?

ARGUN : There is no coercion. But those that want to live sinless must expect to be physically annihilated, the way CHRIST was annihilated. But this does not put an end to their life.

- *Everything that turns into a vice turns negative.*

September 1961

Question : Are people actually capable of recognising all their sins?

AREDOS : No, *not all of them*. This is mainly due to the fact that people live a *thoughtless life*. They deal with the negative and thereby gradually lose all objectivity. Besides, they continuously clear their conscience through a trick, namely by making everybody else responsible for their sins. They push their guilt onto others. This is where the first step towards discernment and improvement has to be taken.

June 1963

Question : Is sexual intercourse a sin? - I mean the way the Churches interpret it?

ELIAS : *It is the same situation as with wine: It is damaging if imbibed to excess; it is otherwise a law of nature.*

March 1964

Question : Should one remain silent if one is aware of being cheated or does this turn us into an accessory after the fact?

AREDOS : Remaining silent does *not* turn you into an accomplice after the fact. But one should stand up for oneself if one is aware of being cheated. It is the duty of every positive human being to *make* cheaters and liars aware of their negative behaviourism.

October 1964

Question : Is it sinful to engage in spiritistic activities? (The Church's view.)

AREDOS : Communicating with the WORLD of the SPIRIT is *not* a sin.¹ It always depends on *how* one communicates with the WORLD of the SPIRIT. People should not engage in blasphemies. They must accurately contemplate the things they do in this respect.

- *We are dealing with a blasphemy if no respect for the divine is present.*

Question : There are cases of people who diligently go to Church to pray. But they are incredibly mendacious and negative just the same. Can one call them hypocrites?

AREDOS : This is unfortunately the case. These people *know very well* how they are and what they do, but they have a false concept of GOD and his REALM. They actually confuse compassion *with brutishness*.

- *If they believe that all their sins will be forgiven just because they go to Church, they are sadly mistaken! – GOD and his PLENIPOTENTIARIES do not forgive sins that are not regretted and especially not if the sinner shows no improvement.*

¹ The Book of Genesis holds a different opinion.

It would naturally constitute pure brutishness if sins were forgiven out of compassion, because it would then *not* change the sinner.

Question : The Catholic Church handles this differently: They forgive sins if the sinner asks for forgiveness. What do you make of that?

AREDOS : As I said before, pure *brutishness*. GOD and his PLENIPOTENTIARIES can certainly not be compared with these priests.

- *The path that leads to forgiveness only goes via improvement!*

March 1966

Question : We are often forced to tell little white lies during our life here on Earth. Are thee little white lies also a sin?

ARGUN : We show a lot of understanding for certain stressful situations, assessing this however always depends on *the reason* and on *the kind of excuse* used. It is a sin, if there is any *malevolence* involved. A lie is however the dispute of a deed whilst an excuse is a way out of a situation.

January 1967

Question : Are we dealing with karma if we are unable to get rid of someone who continuously takes advantage of us?

AREDOS : For negative people to believe that Christian-minded people are there to be taken advantage of is a widespread mistake. To cut lose from these exploiters is rightfully justified. *To support them would be a sin.* This has *nothing* to do with karma.

June 1974

Question : Can human beings, spiritualists as it were, commit sins they are not aware of?

ELIAS : All normal human beings, unless they suffer from mental disorders, *know very well* whether they have sinned or not. All human beings are aware of *their* shortcomings and one does not have to draw their attention to them. But if they err in this respect they have to analyse themselves in order to *learn* to find their shortcomings and the mistakes they make.

- *GOD rates showing consideration to one's fellow men the highest. It means more to HIM than all prayers put together!*

No human being is capable of loving and venerating GOD if they have not developed a high respect for their fellow human beings. Remember that *every* fellow human being is a *divine* creature!

- *Only those that love their fellow men are capable of loving GOD.*

Question : Reforming the Abortion Paragraph 218 triggered heated discussions. How does the

realm of the spirit see this problem?

AREDOS : All sexual intercourse is and remains an act of procreation unless conception is rendered impossible a priori. This is why all intercourse *without* protection is a request to GOD to enact his powers of creation. People must neither be surprised nor complain if the divine LAWS answer this request. The ensuing procreation is in any case a divine LAW and a divine will. This is the opinion the realm of the spirit holds.

Question : At which state of the development of the embryo is one dealing with a living human being?

AREDOS : The semen and the ova already represent life.

- *This is why human life begins the moment the semen and the ova engage with one another.*

Question : The so-called “beginning” of life is therefore an aberration, because it already existed before procreation? It is *not* developing, it *already* existed?

AREDOS : Yes, this is correct, even though we are initially only dealing with a *vegetative* existence.

Question : When does the soul enter this vegetative existence?

AREDOS : The soul does not develop parallel to the embryo; the soul is a *finished product* even *before* this vegetative existence begins.

- *The soul is the model for the physical body.*
- *The final enmeshment with the body only takes place immediately before birth. But the soul entertains contact with the body during its development in spite of this.*

Question : Does the realm of the spirit recognise certain emergencies, certain indications that justify the termination of a pregnancy?

AREDOS : When LOVE abounds and when NATURE, that is to say, GOD is called upon to help create a child, *no* indication will have validity. If the case is different, we can only be dealing with pleasure. But people are allegedly not supposed to have fun when they find themselves in dire situations that do not justify such pleasures. Anyway, this is my personal opinion.

Objection : This is surely mainly due to the fact that people are not sufficiently enlightened.

AREDOS : They are reasonably enlightened biologically, but they are so *flippant*. But they lack any enlightenment in a divine sense because the divine LAWS from Mount Sinai were destroyed. They have only just recently been transmitted to you in their original form by the SANTINER.²

The first demand accordingly reads:

² See protocol: “Ten Commandments”

- *Do not procreate out of lust, but based on your voluntary self-sacrifice to help a blessed soul to gain better insights and self-realisation and to look after it until it gains autonomy in thoughts and deeds.*

Question : Women protested and demanded the right to decide *for themselves* whether they want to carry a baby full term or not. They assert the right to do what they want to do with what's in their womb. How does the realm of the spirit see this?

AREDOS : This is an indication of just *how low* the world has sunk ethically and morally.

Hereto GOD'S second demand:

- *Respect your common law wife as the responsible bearer of the divine will, divine life and the preparer of the way to a planned future. Her failure connotes destruction, slowly, but inevitably.*

- The end -